

Peer Editing Worksheet—Scholarly Article Analysis (use back if needed)

Name of Reviewer: Nyah Olive Name Reviewed: ADP

1. Is the title specific to the analysis/topic?

Yes the title gives the reader an idea on what the paper will be about

Yes Love the title!

2. Does the first paragraph sufficiently introduce the article (e.g., author's name, journal and article titles, date)?

yes

Yes

3. Is the thesis (last sentence of introduction) specific to the analysis? How can it be improved?

Yes, However you should put what the articles results are showing briefly in the thesis

Yes but I believe it can be a little more clearer.

4. Does the analysis of the article include the following: format of article, voice (active/passive), tenses, word choice, content of each section? Does the analysis need to be developed or edited for clarification? Is every section of the article analyzed?

Yes, you include the writer used the IMRAD format, also states which voice is being used & provides citations to show how the writer uses each one. You also discuss how each part follows the guidelines for the IMRAD format & provides examples of how. Every section is analyzed

5. Are there many grammar, spelling, or punctuation mistakes? Are "you" and "I" pronouns avoided? Circle problems on the draft.

No, and yes

Kind of, but I made suggestions.

6. Overall, state one thing the analysis does well and one thing that needs to be improved.

The analysis had good grammar, and stayed away from I & you pronouns, and the conclusion & introduction did a good job introducing & summarizing the information

One thing that can be improved is to analyze the introduction in the essay and there were a few typos

refer to paper ~ Olivia